

Supervisor Romo West

File No. 14-451

A RESOLUTION

Suggesting candidates to the Governor for potential membership on the Milwaukee County Mental Health Board, through adoption of the following:

WHEREAS, Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9) creates the Milwaukee County Mental Health Board and states that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors is to suggest candidates to the Governor for each of five positions, based upon suggestions solicited from designated organizations; and

WHEREAS, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors has solicited suggestions as set out in the statute; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the following psychiatrists/psychologists who specialize in a full continuum of behavioral health services for children, and who are listed in alphabetical order, are suggested for the position identified in Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9)(b)1:

Robert Chayer, MD, Medical Director, Dept. of Psychiatry and Behavioral Medicine, Children's Hospital of Wisconsin

Maria Elena Perez, Ph.D., Director of Behavioral Health, 16th Street Community Health Center

Don Rosenberg, Licensed Psychologist, President Shorehaven Behavioral Health

Angela Sanders, Ph.D., Child and Adolescent Psychologist at Rogers Memorial Hospital

; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following psychiatrists/psychologists who specialize in a full continuum of behavioral health services for adults, and who are listed in alphabetical order, are suggested for the position identified in Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9)(b)2:

Maria Elena Perez, Ph.D., Director of Behavioral Health, 16th Street Community Health Center

Robert Rawski, MD, General and Forensic Psychiatrist, Dept. of Psychiatry, Medical College of Wisconsin

Kristina Vollmer, Ph.D., Clinical Psychologist, Aurora Behavioral Health Services at Sinai

45
46 **Brian Wolf, Ph.D.,** President Professional Services Group

47
48 ; and

49
50 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following mental health services
51 consumers, listed in alphabetical order, are suggested for the position identified in
52 Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9)(b)3:

53
54 **Serge Blasberg,** Certified Peer Specialist, NAMI of Greater Milwaukee

55
56 **Tamara Ferber,** Certified Lead Peer Specialist, Our Space Inc.

57
58 **Mark Flower,** Director of Community Programs, DryHootch

59
60 **Lyn Malofsky,** Executive Director, Warmline, Inc.

61
62 ; and

63
64 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following psychiatric mental health advanced
65 practitioner nurses, listed in alphabetical order, are suggested for the position identified in
66 Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9)(b)4:

67
68 **Carol Herzberg,** MS, RN, APNP, Former Nursing Program Coordinator, Former
69 Clinical Nurse Specialist and Acute Care Nurse

70
71 **Kay Jansen,** APRN, Clinical Associate Professor, UW-Milwaukee College of Nursing

72
73 **Jeffrey Miller,** DNP, ACRN, APNP, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Psychiatry and
74 Behavioral Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin

75
76 **Suzanne Schuler,** RN, MSN, Nurse Consultant, Nursing Voice

77
78 ; and

79
80 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following health care providers representing
81 community-based mental health service providers, and who are listed in alphabetical
82 order, are suggested for the position identified in Wis. Stat. § 15.195(9)(b)8:

83
84 **John Bartkowski,** President, 16th Street Community Health Center

85
86 **Pete Carlson,** President, Aurora Psychiatric Hospital and Behavioral Health Services

87
88 **Colleen Dublinski,** Clinical Director Wisconsin Community Services

89
90
91
92
93
94
95

Rochelle Landingham, Executive Director WestCare Wisconsin

; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk shall forward the names set out above to the Governor, along with background material on each.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: May 6, 2014

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

SUBJECT: A Resolution suggesting candidates to the Governor for potential board membership on the Milwaukee County Mental Health Board pursuant to Wisconsin State Statute § 15.195(9).

FISCAL EFFECT:

- ☒ No Direct County Fiscal Impact ☐ Increase Capital Expenditures
- ☒ Existing Staff Time Required ☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures
- ☐ Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below) ☐ Increase Capital Revenues
- ☐ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Capital Revenues
- ☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
- ☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures ☐ Use of contingent funds
- ☐ Increase Operating Revenues
- ☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. Wisconsin State Statute § 15.195(9) creates the Milwaukee County Mental Health Board. The Statute directs the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors to suggest candidates to the Governor for each of five positions. This resolution includes the names of the individuals and if approved, directs staff to send this information on to the Governor.

B. Approval of this resolution will not require an expenditure of funds, but will require existing staff time to communicate its contents to the appropriate individuals.

C. None

D. None

Department/Prepared By Erica Hayden , Research Policy Analyst, Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Did CBDP Review?² ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.